

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/825,669

Applicant(s)

BRUECKNER ET AL.

Examiner

NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH

Art Unit

2419

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH.(3) NATALIYA DVORSON (REG. NO. 56,616).(2) CHIRAG SHAH.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 07 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9, and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An agreement was reached to incorporate dependent claims 8, 16 and 22 into independent claims 1, 9 and 17 subject to further search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH/
Examiner, Art Unit 2419

/Chirag G Shah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419